CLERK OF THE COURT US DISTRICT COURT 400 S. Virginia St #301, Reno, NV 89501 August 14, 2015

SUBJECT:

Request Clerks help for Assistance

CASE:

Heyden V Carnevale et al; 3:15-cv-00226-MMD

Dear Sir;

I am requesting your assistance in correcting an error made when I filed my above verified complaint.

It was a new and separate action, which case in chief is for violations of FDCPA, and Nevada NRS 649.005. Copy of filed complaint on April 23, 2015 is attached.

You will notice that in the Pleadings: Verified Complaint, Civil cover sheet and in the Summons, (all attached) are all correctly filled out as they <u>were intended</u> to be filed by me, Heyden (Plaintiff) vs Carnevale *et al* (Defendant's).

There was a secondary pleading filed, Removal of the lower court (traffic tickets) which is a separate and subsidiary secondary filing entered at the same time. But even so, you will notice that I placed myself as Plaintiff and them as Defendants (Heyden vs Carnevale et al).

Somehow there became some confusion as to the Removal(s) and were placed (incorrectly) into the record as filing as case in chief (primary filing).

There appears in your record that the entire case is listed as:

State of Arizona v. Randy Heyden;

That the Removal(s) became primary

That the FDCPA Verified Complaint became secondary (or non-existent).

I am asking the Clerks help in correcting this matter either by:

- 1. Properly correcting the record to reflect my intentions when I paid and filed the Case, or;
- 2. Refunding my filing fee of \$400 (or issuing a credit in that amount) so I may refile just the FDCPA case by itself (so there will not be any confusing).

FILED

BY:

ENTERED

SERVED ON

YTU930

COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD

AUG 1 7 2015

Any assistance you can give me will be appreciated, please advise of which of the above you can do for me.

Respectfully Submitted:

Randy Heyden

PO Box 3600

Stateline, Nevada 89449

NATURE OF ACTION

1.

9

15

12

//

"FDCPA"), the Nevada Fair Debt Collection Practices Act NRS 649.005 et seg.

(hereinafter "NRS"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive,

deceptive, and unfair practices.

- Plaintiff seeks to recover monetary damages for Defendant's violation 2. of the FDCPA, the NRS, and to have an Order or injunction issued by this Court preventing Defendant from continuing its volatile behaviors.
- 3. Service may be made upon Defendant in any other district in which it may be found pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337. The court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims.
- 5. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), where Plaintiff resides in this district. All conditions precedent to the bringing of this action have been performed, waived or excused.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, Randy Heyden ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in the city of Stateline, state of Nevada.
- 7. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) and protected by the Nevada Revised Statutes, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 8. Defendant, Tammy W. Carnevale, is a natural person and is sued in her individual capacity as a member of the Quartzsite Justice Court doing business in Arizona with its principle business location at 555 N. Plymouth in the city of Quatzsite, state of Arizona.
- 9. QUARTZSITE JUSTICE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF LA PAZ ("Defendant") is a Corporate person who at all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5) and acting as a "Collection Agency" as defined by the NRS 649.020.
- 10. Defendant is a Corporate Person and is authorized to do business in Arizona with its principle business location at 555 N. Plymouth, city of Quartzsite in the state of Arizona.
- 11. Defendants are "debt collectors" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and a "Collection Agent" as defined by the NRS 649.025.

- 12. Plaintiff is a natural person allegedly obligated, to pay a debt owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 13. Plaintiff's obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant, arises from a transaction or action in which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes and Plaintiff incurred the obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 14. Defendants use instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 15. In connection with the collection of an alleged debt, Defendant sent initial written communication to Plaintiff on an Account TR2015-00228-Case No: J1504-TR2015-00228-Citation/complaint #10023962, hereinafter DEBT on January 21, 2015 and required him to appear on March 26, 2015. Plaintiff faxed and mailed a notice of dispute of debt on April 09, 2015.

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

- On March 4, 2015 Plaintiff advised Defendants by faxing and mailing a 16. NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR ABATEMENT AND NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.
- On April 2, 2015 Plaintiff communicated with Defendants regarding the 17. continued collection attempts in a letter sent to the Clerk of the Court by fax and US Mail.
- 18. On April 7, 2015, Defendants continued collection attempts by mailing an ORDER DENYING DEMAND FOR DISMISSAL, and demanded again that Plaintiff either pay 2,797.30 dollars or appear in their court on April 28, 2015.
- On April 9, 2015 Plaintiff again disputed the debt by submitting a written 19. NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF DEBT, TITLE 15 USC 1692 et seq, sent by fax and US Mail and filed into the court case.
- 20. Defendants failed to meaningfully convey the required disclosures, constituting an unfair and deceptive act in connection with the collection of an alleged debt. (15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(10), 1692g(a)(4), 1692g(a)(5)).
- Defendants' actions constitute conduct highly offensive to a reasonable 21. person, and as a result of Defendant's violations, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF FDCPA

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above.
- 23. Defendants aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendants violated the FDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k, in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant any further collection activity regarding Account TR2015-00228-Case No: J1504-TR2015-00228-Citation/complaint #10023962.
- g) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II VIOLATION OF NRS FDCPA

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.
- 25. Defendants violated 649.375 of the NRS as a prohibited practice by placing with Plaintiff papers requiring Plaintiff to appear repeatedly and continuously as a pretense or deceptive means to collect any debt.
- 26. Defendants violated 649.332 of the NRS by placing with Plaintiff papers requiring Plaintiff to reappear without verification of debt.
- 27. Defendants violated 649.334 of the NRS by continuously failing to clarify and verify written agreement to be intelligible and unambiguous.
- 28. Defendants violated 649.370 of the NRS by continuously failing to comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.
 - a. Defendants violated 15 USC §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff.
 - b. Defendants violated 15 USC §1692d.5 of the FDCPA by repeatedly causing and demanding Plaintiff to either pay money or appear in their court with the intent to threaten, annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendants violated the United States FDCPA and the same for the Nevada state FDCPA.
 - b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to NRS 649.440 in the amount of \$10,000.00;
 - c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to Title 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
 - d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney fees and court costs incurred in this action;
 - e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
 - f) Injunctive relief under 649.400 of NRS prohibiting Defendant any further collection activity regarding Account TR2015-00228-Case No: J1504-TR2015-00228-Citation/complaint #10023962.
 - g) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

29. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury.

DATED: April 20, 2015 Respectfully submitted by,

Randy Heyden

16 17

18

20

21

19

22 23

24

26

25

27

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION

Plaintiff, RANDY HEYDEN, is competent to testify and declares the following to be true, correct and not misleading:

- 1. I, Randy Heyden, am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.
- I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted 2. by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper 3. purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint.
- I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth 4. in it.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, Randy Heyden, hereby declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATE: April 20, 2015 by,

Randy Heyden, plaintiff

Case 3.15-vv000226 WWD WYC COOPERSTILE Tried 0.041/231/15 PRAGE 1-00226

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil do	icket sheet. <i>(SEE INSTRUC</i>)	TONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH	HIS FORM.)	· 		
L (a) PLAINTIFFS PROPERTY	Randy		DEFENDANTS	ALE, TAMMY JE JUSTICE CO	W_surT	
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff DC (CEPT IN U.S PLAINTIFF CA	ENTERED	COUNTE IN AND CO	OFFIRST LISTED DEFENDANT VINUS. PLAINTIFF CASES OF VINDEMNATION CASES, USE THE OFFIAND INVOLVED	NLY) IE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	, A	PR 2 3 Afterneys (1) Anown) PK US DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA DEALER OF NEVADA	אַערע		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) IIMSCITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff						
D 1 US Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Yor a Purty) BY:	Citizen of This State			
© 2 US Government Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)		2	nother State	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 3 Foreign Nation	O 6 O 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place on "X" in One Bux Only)						
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease Æ Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 3 10 Airplane 3 15 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Manne 345 Manne Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 334 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities - Other 348 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	© 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 © 690 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 870 Taxes (U S Planhff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Parry 26 USC 7609	375 False Cleums Act 400 State Reapportunment 410 Anturust 3430 Banks and Banking	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Brief description of ce	Appellate Court tute under which you are f	(specify litting (Do not cite jurisdictional state) 2	r District Litigation		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMANDS STATUTUR	CHECK YES only: JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint. Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	_	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE APRIL 20, 2015 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					· · · · · ·	
DECEIDT & A	MOUNT	ADDI VIMC IED	UDGE	MAG JUE	OGE	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Nevada

RANDY HEYDEN)))	
Plaintiff(s) V. TAMMY W. CARNEVALE; QUARTZSITE JUSTICE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF LA PAZ)) Civil Action No.)))	3·15-cv-00226
Defendant(s)	,)	

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) TAMMY W. CARNEVALE;

QUARTZSITE JUSTICE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF LA

PAZ

555 N. Plymouth, Quartzsite, Arizona. 85346

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: RANDY HEYDEN

276 KINGSBURY SQ. Ste. 104

PO BOX 3600

STATELINE, NEVADA 89449

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court

Date: 4/03/0015

CLERK OF COURT

Signature o